

Understanding the New ISO 13655 Measurement Standard in Press and Proofing Applications

Erin Luu and Abhay Sharma Ryerson University

Overview

- Accurate and consistent colour
 - Optical Brightening Agents (OBAs) and Ultra
 Violet (UV)
 - Measuring Reflectance
 - ISO 13655 and M0, M1, M2, M3
 - Is it a suitable alternative to what we are using now?
- Experimental Details
- Results
- Conclusions and final thoughts

Importance of Accurate and Consistent Colour

Main considerations: Ability to deliver consistent colour

- To match customer expectations
- Uses "printing to the numbers"
- Usually CIELAB
 characterization data values





Problem of OBAs and UV

- UV component varies
 - Measuring instrument
 - Viewing booth
 - Actual viewing conditions
- When UV components not well defined
 - Inter-instrument differences
 - Colour correlation between measurement and viewing booth
 - Colour correlation between viewing booth and final actual viewing conditions

Measuring Reflectance

- Instrument can have different light sources
- Similar measurement results between devices because of % reflectance
 - Illuminate with 40% and having 20% being reflected back results in a 50% reflectance at this wavelength
 - Colour description <u>independent</u> of illuminant

Effect of OBAs and UV

- Measured spectrum becomes <u>dependent</u> on the instrument's illuminant
- UV from the measuring light absorbed and emitted in blue part of spectrum
 - % reflectance will change with the amount of UV in device
- In order to maintain accurate and consistent colour measurements, illuminant UV characteristics must be specified

ISO 13655 and M0, M1, M2, M3

- Published in 1996 and revised in 2009
 - ISO 13655:2009 –Graphic technology –
 Spectral measurement and colorimetric computation for graphic arts images
- New revision provides more clarity illuminant and measurement modes
- Defines four measurement modes M0, M1, M2 and M3

ISO 13655 and M0, M1, M2, M3

 M0 – legacy mode (based on Illuminant A tungsten bulb found in older devices)





• M1 – D50 mode



ISO 13655 and M0, M1, M2, M3

• M2 – UV-cut mode



ISO 13655 and M0, M1, M2, M3

M3 – polarizing mode (for measurement of wet offset press sheets)





Experimental Details

- Experiment compared effects of measuring samples with modes M0, M1, M2 and M3
- Device: Konica-Minolta FD-7 and X-Rite i1iSis spectrophotometer
- Samples: six different types of paper
 - Four with OBAs
 - Two without OBAs

Experimental Details

- Offset press run was conducted to measure dryback of ink density for offset inks
- Materials:
 - 100M Condat Supreme Gloss Text (coated)
 - 70M Williamsburg Offset Smooth (uncoated)
 - Hostmann Steinberg Perfexia (PX-V) CMYK process inks
- Press: Ryerson University's 4-colour Heidelberg PM74 press
- Device: Konica-Minolta FD-7 and X-Rite 530 spectrophotometer



Experimental Details

Three different instruments were used in a number of different configurations as summarized below

Konica-Minolta FD-7	X-Rite i1iSisXL	X-Rite 530
M0 - Spectral data	M0 - Spectral data	M0 - Density
M1 - Spectral data	-	-
M2 - Spectral data	M2- Spectral data	-
M3 - Spectral data and density	_	-

Data from Konica-Minolta FD-7 Spectrophotometer









Data from X-Rite i1iSisXL Spectrophotometer



Comparing Konica-Minolta FD-7 and X-Rite i1iSisXL



Density change with ink dry back for offset printing



Conclusion

- M0 can continue to be used
- Papers containing OBAs clearly showed a peak in the blue part of the measured spectrum
- If a sample contained no OBAs then measurement in M1 (UV included) and M2 (UV excluded) created the same response
- M3 is a polarization mode will often result in a "darker" density

Conclusion

- The new ISO 13655 standard for instruments and ISO 3664 standard for viewing booths will greatly reduce the colour matching problems currently faced in the field.
- We appeal to the instrument manufacturers to upgrade or update their instrument portfolio to align with ISO 13655.

Thank you!

Erin Luu and Abhay Sharma Ryerson University